1 2	Acting Assistant Attorney General		
3	Amber Dutton-Bynum United States Department of Justice		
4	Environment & Natural Resources Division		
5	P.O. Box 7611		
6	Tel: (202) 305-0465		
7			
8	United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division		
9	Natural Resources Section P.O. Box 7611		
10	Washington, D.C. 200044-7611 Tel: (202) 514-2598		
11			
12	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
13		ACT COADT	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15			
16	DAVIS GONZALES, et al.,	e No. 2:24-cv-01629-GMN-DJA	
17	Plaintiffs,		
18	8 v.		
19	UNITED STATES DELAKTIVIENT OF		
20			
21	Defendants.		
22	JOINT PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER		
23	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-1, the Parties have conferred and negotiated the		
24	following agreed proposed scheduling order.		
25	This is a case seeking judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA")		
26	as well as declaratory relief. The original Plaintiff Joseph Holley, individually and purportedly		
27			
28	3		

on behalf of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians ("the Tribe"), filed the original complaint on September 4, 2024. ECF No. 1.

Plaintiffs Davis Gonzales, individually and purportedly on behalf of the Tribe, and the Tribe's Housing Authority (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed an Amended Complaint on January 6, 2025. ECF No. 59. In the Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs seek this Court's review under the APA and reversal of the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs' ("AS-IA") decision issued on November 27, 2024, which resolved consolidated appeals from decisions issued by the Regional Director of the Western Region for the BIA ("Regional Director") and the Director of the BIA ("Director"). Am. Compl. ¶¶ 123–34. Plaintiffs challenge the AS-IA's decision recognizing the interim Tribal leadership to conduct the 2024 Tribal elections and determining those elections (which had occurred on October 12, 2024) mooted the appeals. *Id.* at ¶ 127–29. They also challenge the AS-IA's decision affirming the finding that the Tribal Court to the Court of Indian Offenses (also known as the CFR Court). *Id.* at ¶ 129. On April 7, 2025, Federal Defendants, United States Department of the Interior ("Interior") and Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA") (collectively, "Federal Defendants"), answered the Amended Complaint. ECF No. 76.

As is typical in cases challenging agency action under the APA, the Parties agree that summary judgment merits briefing should follow an initial period in which Federal Defendants provide their administrative record and allow Plaintiffs a period in which to file motions regarding supplementation of the record, whether the Court should consider extra-record evidence, or whether the Court should allow discovery.

Because the case should be resolved on summary judgment based on the Federal Defendants' administrative record, and any other evidence permitted under the applicable standards, following appropriate motions practice on such additional evidence, the Parties do not believe the Court's standing scheduling order form should apply because it anticipates proceedings such as expert reports and pretrial filings which are not necessary in this case.

Instead, the Parties here propose an initial schedule for lodging the administrative record and for

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

resolving issues related to the administrative record before the parties begin summary judgment briefing.

Therefore, the Parties respectfully request the Court enter the following schedule:

A. **Time for Serving Certified Record.**

(1) Federal Defendants are to serve the certified administrative record upon Plaintiffs and Defendant McDade and file a Notice of Filing and the Administrative Record with the Court by June 2, 2025.

B. Schedule for Briefing on the Scope of the Record.

In the event Plaintiffs file a motion challenging the scope of the administrative record, seeking for the Court to consider extra-record evidence, or seeking discovery:

- (1) Such motion shall be filed by **July 14, 2025**. The filing of any such motion shall have the effect of vacating the summary judgment briefing schedule set forth below and the parties will propose a new schedule within fourteen days of the Court's ruling on the record/discovery motion.
- (2) Federal Defendants shall file a response to any record/discovery motion by July 28, 2025.
- (3) Any reply in support of a record/discovery motion shall be filed by **August 4, 2025**.

C. Schedule for Merits Briefing Absent Motion(s) Challenging the Record.

In the event Plaintiffs do not file a motion challenging the scope of the administrative record, seeking for the Court to consider extra-record evidence or seeking discovery:

- (1) Plaintiffs shall file their combined motion for summary judgment by July 30, 2025.
- (2) Federal Defendants and Defendant McDade shall each file their respective consolidated cross-motion for summary judgment and response to Plaintiffs' combined motion for summary judgment by August 29, 2025. The parties agree to an expanded page limit of 45 pages due to the consolidated briefing.
- (3) Plaintiffs shall file a consolidated response and reply to each respective consolidated cross-motion for summary judgment by September 29, 2025. The parties agree to an expanded page limit of 45 pages due to the consolidated briefing.

1	(4) Federal Defendants and Defendant McDade shall each file their respective reply in	
2	support of their cross-motion for summary judgment by October 14, 2025.	
3 4	Respectfully submitted,	
5	DATED this 20th day of May, 2025.	DATED this 20th day of May, 2025.
6	SEMENZA RICKARD LAW	THE LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES R. ZEH, ESQ.
7 8	Jarrod L. Rickard Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq., Bar No. 10203 10161 Park Run Drive, Ste. 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	<u>/s/ Charles R. Zeh</u> Charles R. Zeh, Esq., Bar No. 1739 Pete Cladianos III, Esq., Bar No. 8406 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 950
9 10 11	& JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES John W. Muije, Esq., Bar No. 2419 3216 Lone Canyon Court	Reno, NV 89501 Attorneys for Plaintiff the Housing Authority of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians of Nevada
12	N. Las Vegas, NV 89031 Attorneys for Plaintiff Davis Gonzales, et al.	
13 14	DATED this 20th day of May, 2025.	DATED this 20th day of May, 2025.
15 16	ADAM R.F. GUSTAFSON Acting Assistant Attorney General	/s/ Steven Joseph McDade Steven Joseph McDade TeMoak Prosecutor
17 18	/s/ Michelle Ramus Michelle Ramus &	PO Box 2568 Elko, NV 89801 Defendant
19	Amber Dutton-Bynum United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division	
20	atural Resources Section One of the control of the	
21	Washington, D.C. 200044-7611	
22	SIGAL CHATTAH, Nevada Bar No. 8264 United States Attorney, District of Nevada	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	KARISSA D. NEFF, Nevada Bar No. 9133 Assistant United States Attorney	DATED: 5/22/2025
24	501 Las Vegas Blvd. So., Suite 1100	
25 26	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Counsel for Defendants	DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE